# Lecture 12: Swapping

# CS343 – Operating Systems Branden Ghena – Fall 2020

Some slides borrowed from: Stephen Tarzia (Northwestern), Shivaram Venkataraman (Wisconsin), and UC Berkeley CS162

Northwestern

#### Today's Goals

 Insight into how virtual memory is used and what it looks like in today's systems.

• Review of the memory hierarchy and how the OS interacts with each level.

• Introduce swapping as a mechanism for enabling more virtual memory than physical memory.

• Explore several page replacement policies that control swapping.

#### Outline

#### Paging in modern OS

• Memory Hierarchy

- Swapping
  - Page Replacement Policies

#### OS management of processes with paging

- When loading a process
  - OS places actual memory into physical pages in RAM
  - OS creates page table for the process
    - OS decides access permissions to different pages
    - OS connects to shared libraries already in RAM
- When a context switch occurs
  - OS changes which page table is in use (%CR3 register in x86)
- When a fault occurs
  - OS decides how to handle it. (Invalid access or missing page?)

#### Page faults enable lazy allocation and lazy loading

- Paging is not just translation and overflow
  - Paging provides an opportunity to be lazy about loading requested data
  - This is an important performance optimization, *reducing program start time*
- If a process requests a huge chunk of memory, maybe it will not use all that memory immediately (or ever!).
  - Programmers and compilers are sometimes *greedy* in their requests
  - We can *virtually* allocate memory, but mark most of the pages "not present"
  - Let the CPU raise an exception when the memory is really used
  - Then really allocate the demanded page
- Lazy allocation minimizes latency of fulfilling the request and it prevents OS from allocating memory that will not be used.

#### Lazy loading in practice

- Lazy loading also works for large code binaries
  - Delay loading a page of instructions until it's needed
- OS must also write zeros to newly assigned physical frames
  - Program does not necessarily expect the new memory to contain zeros,
  - But we clear the memory for security, so that other process' data is not leaked.
  - OS can keep one read-only physical page filled with zeros and just give a reference to this at first.
    - After the first page fault (due to writing a read-only page), allocate a real page.

#### Lazy allocation via copy-on-write with Fork

- Recall that *fork + exec* is the only way to create a child process in unix
- Fork clones the entire process, including all virtual memory
  - This can be very slow and inefficient, especially if the memory will just be overwritten by a call to **exec**.
- *Copy on write* is a performance optimization:
  - Don't copy the parent's pages, *share* them
    - Make the child process' page table point to the parent's physical pages
    - Mark all the pages as "read only" in the PTEs (temporarily)
  - If parent or child writes to a shared page, a page fault exception will occur
  - OS handles the page fault by:
    - Copying parent's page to the child & marking both copies as writeable
    - When the faulting process is resumed, it retries the memory write.

#### Virtual memory in practice

- On Linux, the pmap command shows a process' VM mapping.
- We see:
  - OS tracks which file code is loaded from, so it can be lazily loaded
  - The main process binary and libraries are *lazy loaded*, not fully in memory
  - Libraries have read-only sections that can be shared with other processes
- cat /proc/<pid>/smaps shows even more detail

References:

- <u>https://unix.stackexchange.com/a/116332</u>
- <u>https://www.akkadia.org/drepper/dsohowto.pdf</u>

[[spt175@murphy ~]	<b>\$ pm</b> ap ->	< 1122		
1122: emacs ker	nel/proc	. <b>c</b>		
Address	Kbytes	RSS	Dirty Mode	Mapping
000000000400000	2032	1344	0 r-x	emacs-23.1
0000000007fb000	8856	8192	6140 rw	emacs-23.1
000000001dd5000	1204	1204	1204 rw	[ anon ]
00000035cc600000	16	12	0 r-x	libuuid.so.1.3.0
00000035cc604000	2044	0	0	libuuid.so.1.3.0
00000035cc803000	4	4	4 rw	libuuid.so.1.3.0
00000035cca00000	28	12	0 r-x	libSM.so.6.0.1
00000035cca07000	2048	0	0	libSM.so.6.0.1
00000035ccc07000	4	4	4 rw	libSM.so.6.0.1
00000035d0e00000	32	12	0 r-x	libgif.so.4.1.6
00000035d0e08000	2048	0	0	libgif.so.4.1.6
00000035d1008000	4	4	4 rw	libgif.so.4.1.6
0000003f65a00000	128	116	0 r-x	ld-2.12.so
0000003f65c20000	4	4	4 r	ld-2.12.so
0000003f65c21000	4	4	4 rw	ld-2.12.so
0000003f65c22000	4	4	4 rw	[ anon ]
0000003f65e00000	1576	536	0 r-x	libc-2.12.so
0000003f65f8a000	2048	0	0	libc-2.12.so
0000003f6618a000	16	16	8 r	libc-2.12.so
0000003f6618e000	8	8	8 rw	libc-2.12.so
00007fca3aa85000	52	20	0 <mark>.r-x</mark>	libnss_files-2.12.so
00007fca3aa92000	2044	0	0	libnss_files-2.12.so
00007fca3ac91000	4	4	4 <mark>r</mark>	libnss_files-2.12.so
00007fca3ac92000	4	4	4 <mark>. rw</mark>	libnss_files-2.12.so
00007fca3ac93000	96848	44	0 r	locale-archive
00007fca40b27000	104	104	104 rw	[ anon ]
00007fca40b54000	80	80	80 rw	[ anon ]
00007ffccb300000	164	128	128 rw	[ stack ]
00007ffccb341000	4	4	0 r-x	[ anon ]
fffffffff600000	4	0	0 r-x	[ anon ]
total kB	257068	14604	8128	

#### pmap on emacs

- "Mapping" shows source of the section, more code can be loaded from here later.
  - "anon" are regular program data, requested by *sbrk* or *mmap*. (In other words, heap data)
- Each library has several sections:
  - "r-x--" for code > *can be shared*
  - "r----" for constants
  - "rw---" for global data
  - "-----" for guard pages: (not mapped to anything, just reserved to generate page faults)
- **RSS** means resident in physical mem.
- Dirty pages have been written and therefore cannot be shared with others

#### top has a column showing shared memory

top - 10:25:45 up 7 days, 48 min, 3 users, load average: 0.04, 0.06, 0.09
Tasks: 650 total, 1 running, 649 sleeping, 0 stopped, 0 zombie
Cpu(s): 0.0%us, 0.0%sy, 0.0%ni, 99.9%id, 0.0%wa, 0.0%hi, 0.0%si, 0.0%st
Mem: 132144848k total, 129331984k used, 2812864k free, 37895660k buffers
Swap: 16383996k total, 436k used, 16383560k free, 45074412k cached

PID	USER	PR	NI	VIRT	RES	SHR S	%CPU	%MEM	TIME+	COMMAND
9213	mysql	20	0	1263m	156m	14m S	0.0	0.1	3:57.24	mysqld
10001	root	20	0	5748m	219m	14m S	0.3	0.2	15:02.22	dsm_om_connsvcd
9382	root	20	0	337m	<b>18</b> m	<b>11m</b> S	0.0	0.0	0:10.67	httpd
8304	apache	20	0	352m	<b>19</b> m	10m S	0.0	0.0	0:00.29	httpd
8302	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14</b> m	7144 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.16	httpd
8298	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7140 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.12	httpd
8299	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7136 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.17	httpd
8303	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7136 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.17	httpd
8300	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7120 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.13	httpd
8301	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7120 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.16	httpd
8305	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7112 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.13	httpd
1386	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14m</b>	7096 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.06	httpd
1387	apache	20	0	339m	<b>14</b> m	7084 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.07	httpd
1122	spt175	20	0	251m	14m	6484 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.26	emacs
2615	root	20	0	92996	6200	4816 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.93	NetworkManager
9865	root	20	0	<b>1043</b> m	23m	4680 S	0.3	0.0	9:44.98	dsm_sa_datamgrd
8737	postgres	20	0	219m	5380	4588 S	0.0	0.0	0:01.00	postmaster
2786	haldaemo	20	0	45448	5528	4320 S	0.0	0.0	0:03.99	hald
9956	root	20	0	<b>491</b> m	7268	3280 S	0.0	0.0	3:16.30	dsm_sa_snmpd
990	root	20	0	<b>103</b> m	4188	3172 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.01	sshd
1014	root	20	0	<b>103</b> m	4196	3172 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.02	sshd
19701	root	20	0	<b>103</b> m	4244	3172 S	0.0	0.0	0:00.01	sshd

- The duplicate processes are using a lot of shared memory:
  - ~50% of resident memory for httpd is shared ~75% of resident memory for sshd is shared
- Even if there is just one instance of emacs running, it may share many libraries with other running programs.
- Total virtual memory is ~10x larger than resident memory
  - Processes only use a small fraction of their VM!
  - Due to sharing and lazy loading.

#### To see VM info on Linux

- cat /proc/meminfo
- vmstat
- top
  - (resident)

[[spt175@murphy ~]\$ cat /proc/meminfo 132144848 kB MemTotal: MemFree: 130263996 kB Buffers: 63880 kB 539824 kB Cached: 0 kB SwapCached: Active: 665300 kB Inactive: 323932 kB Active(anon): 385768 kB Inactive(anon): 2460 kB 279532 kB Active(file): Inactive(file): 321472 kB Unevictable: 0 kB Mlocked: 0 kB SwapTotal: 16383996 kB SwapFree: 16383996 kB Dirty: 96 kB 0 kB Writeback: AnonPages: 387972 kB Mapped: 61012 kB 2688 kB Shmem: Slab: 88844 kB SReclaimable: 28140 kB SUnreclaim: 60704 kB KernelStack: 12672 kB PageTables: 15000 kB NFS\_Unstable: 0 kB Bounce: 0 kB 0 kB WritebackTmp: 82456420 kB CommitLimit: 1659096 kB Committed\_AS: VmallocTotal: 34359738367 kB VmallocUsed: 486616 kB VmallocChunk: 34291646280 kB 0 kB HardwareCorrupted: AnonHugePages: 276480 kB HugePages\_Total: 0 HugePages\_Free: 0 HugePages\_Rsvd: 0 HugePages\_Surp: 0 Hugepagesize: 2048 kB DirectMap4k: 5604 kB DirectMap2M: 2078720 kB DirectMap1G: 132120576 kB

#### Requesting memory from the OS – brk()

- System call to change data segment size (the program "break")
  - Either set a new virtual address pointer for top of data segment
  - Or increment the size of the data segment by N bytes
- These are the old system calls to dynamically change program memory
  - How malloc creates space
- "sbrk() and brk() are considered legacy even by 1997 standards"
  - Removed from POSIX in 2001
  - Still exists in some form in lots of OSes (including Nautilus)

Modern requesting memory from the OS – mmap()

- Map (or unmap) files or devices into memory
- Given a file, places the file in the process's virtual address space
  - Process can request an address to place it at, which OS *might* follow
- Given flag MAP\_ANONYMOUS, creates empty memory
  - Initialized to zero and accessible from process
  - Malloc implementation uses this
- Many other options
  - Create huge page, create memory for a stack, shared memory

#### Outline

• Paging in modern OS

Memory Hierarchy

- Swapping
  - Page Replacement Policies

#### Memory Hierarchy



#### The OS view on registers

• Illusion: separate set for each process

• Reality: separate set for each core (or each thread in a core)

• OS needs to save and update registers whenever the currently running process changes

• Process and hardware handle moving memory into registers

#### The OS view on caches

- Mostly ignore them, handled by the hardware automatically
  - Occasionally might need to clear them for security purposes

- Addresses in the caches are either entirely physical addresses
- Or are virtually indexed, physically tagged
  - Cache lookup and TLB lookup happen in parallel
  - TLB result is used as Tag for cache to determine if there was a hit

#### The OS view on memory

- Managed through virtual memory translation
  - Paging (or Segmentation) that we talked about last time

- OS chooses which portions of processes go in RAM
  - Other portions of memory get "swapped" to disk
  - Writeable memory regions (stack, heap, global data) must be preserved
  - Read-only memory regions (code) can be reloaded from original location

#### The OS view on disk

- Non-volatile memory store
  - Everything else on the system disappears when power is removed (and cannot be trusted across reboots)
- Backing store for lots of information
  - Boot information: via "Master Boot Record" on disk
  - Filesystem, which the OS manages access to through system calls
  - Swap space, which the OS moves extra pages in and out of
    - Disk is significantly bigger than RAM, so this will work

- Disk is a device that the OS manages and reads in "blocks"
  - Compare to memory, which is directly addressed by processes

#### Traditional hard disk drives (HDDs) use magnetic regions



#### Solid state drives (SSDs) use flash memory



2. Micron's triple-level cell (TLC) flash memory stores 3 bits of data in each transistor.

• Still non-volatile

- Significantly faster
  - 0.1 ms to access (10 ms for disk)
- More limited lifetime
   than disk

NMOS transistor with an additional conductor between gate and source/drain which "traps" electrons. The presence/absence is a 1 or 0

#### Outline

• Paging in modern OS

• Memory Hierarchy

#### Swapping

• Page Replacement Policies

#### Motivation for swapping

- Processes should be independent of the amount of physical memory
  - Should be correct, even if not performant
- OS goal: support processes when not enough physical memory
  - Multiple processes combining to more than physical memory
  - Single process with very large address space
    - Video games: Red Dead Redemption 2 150 GB
    - Large-scale data processing: Compiling Android 16 GB
- OS provides illusion of more physical memory by using disk

#### Locality of reference

- If disk is involved with memory, won't this be ridiculously slow?
- Leverage *locality of reference* within process
  - **Spatial**: memory addresses near referenced address likely to be next
  - **Temporal**: referenced addresses likely to be referenced again
  - Processes spend majority of time in a small portion of code
    - Estimate: 90% of time spent in 10% of code (loops)
- Implication
  - Process only uses small amount of address space at any moment
  - Only small amount of address space needs to be in physical memory
  - RAM acts as a sort of cache for program memory

#### How swapping works

- OS moves unreferenced pages to disk
- Processes can still run when not all pages are in physical memory
- OS and hardware cooperate to make memory available when needed
  - Same behavior as if all of address space always was in memory
  - Except in terms of time, but processes don't know about time...
- Requirements
  - OS needs mechanism to identify location of address space pages on disk and move them into RAM when necessary
  - OS needs **policy** to determine which pages go in RAM or disk

# Combination of swapping and paging

- Processes have memory pages, which are distributed among RAM and Disk
- Example:
  - Processes 0, 1, and 2 are partially in RAM
  - Process 3 is entirely in "swap space" on disk

		FFINU			FLINS				
	Physical Memory	Proc 0 [VPN 0]	Proc 1 [VPN 2]	Proc 1 [VPN 3]	Proc 2 [VPN 0]				
		Block 0	Block 1	Block 2	Block 3	Block 4	Block 5	Block 6	Block 7
	Swap Space	Proc 0 [VPN 1]	Proc 0 [VPN 2]	[Free]	Proc 1 [VPN 0]	Proc 1 [VPN 1]	Proc 3 [VPN 0]	Proc 2 [VPN 1]	Proc 3 [VPN 1]
Martin and States									

DENIO

#### Paging on Windows

• Windows lets you see and even set the size of swap space on disk

Performance (	Options			×
Visual Effects	Advanced	Data Execution Pre	evention	
Processor	scheduling			
Choose h	iow to alloc	ate processor reso	urces.	
Adjust fo	r best perfo	rmance of:		
Progra	ams	OBackgrou	und services	
-Virtual me	emory			
A paging were RAN	file is an ar A.	ea on the hard disk	that Windows us	es as if it
Total pag	ing file size	for all drives:	28672 MB	
			Cha	nge
		OK	Cancel	Apply

27

 $\sim$ 

Mechanisms to support swapping

- Each page in virtual address space lives in a location
  - 1. Physical memory
  - 2. Disk
  - 3. Nowhere
- Extend page tables with an extra bit present
  - Physical Page Number, Permissions, Valid, Present
    - Page in memory, valid and present
    - Page on disk, valid but not present
      - Page Table Entry points to block on disk instead!
      - Trap to OS on reference
    - Invalid page, not valid not present and bad permissions
      - Trap to OS on reference

#### Extraneous bits in page table entry

 Page Base Address can be reused to hold disk block

- Dirty bit
  - Whether page has been modified
  - If page needs to be swapped out, only preserve if modified

31		12	11	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	Page Base Address		Ava	il.	G	0	D	A	P C D	P W T	U / S	R / W	Р
	Available for system programmer's use Global page Reserved (set to 0) Dirty Accessed Cache disabled Write-through User/Supervisor Read/Write Present												

#### Page-Table Entry (4-KByte Page)

#### Steps to a memory access with swapping

- 1. Hardware checks TLB for virtual address
  - If Hit, address translation complete AND page in physical memory
- 2. Hardware (or OS) walks page tables
  - If valid and present, then page in physical memory
- 3. Trap into OS
  - If invalid or bad permissions, fault process (segmentation fault)
  - If valid but not present
    - If memory is full, select a victim page in memory to replace
      - If modified (dirty), write to disk
      - Invalidate TLB entry for that page
    - OS reads referenced page from disk into memory
    - Page table is updated, present bit is set
    - Resume process execution (could be really complicated on CISC machines)

#### Types of page faults

- **Minor/soft**: Page is loaded in memory, but PTE is not configured:
  - Memory could be a shared library already in memory from another process.
  - OS could be tracking accesses to this page. (hardware without a dirty bit) Response: update the PTE.
- **Major/hard**: A disk access will be needed:
  - Anonymous page (process data) may have been swapped out.
  - Lazy-loading program executable. Response: load the page from disk
- Invalid: User program misbehaved:
  - Dereference null or invalid pointer.
  - Write to page that is read-only.
  - Execute code on a page that is not executable (for security). Response: terminate the process.

Policies to determine swapping evictions

- Goal: minimize the number of page faults
  - Page faults need to read/write from disk and are very slow
  - So the OS can take plenty of time to make a *good* decision
- OS has two decisions
  - 1. Page Selection
    - When should a page be brought into memory?
  - 2. Page Replacement
    - When should a page be swapped into disk?
    - Which page should be swapped out of physical memory?

#### When do we load in pages? (page selection)

- Demand paging: Load page only when page fault occurs
  - Intuition: Wait until page must absolutely be in memory
  - When process starts: No pages are loaded in memory
  - Problems: Pay cost of page fault for every newly accessed page
- Pre-paging (prefetching): Load page before referenced
  - OS predicts future accesses and brings pages into memory early
  - Works well for some access patterns (e.g., sequential)
- Hints: Combine above with user-supplied hints about page references
  - User specifies: may need page in future, don't need this page anymore, or sequential access pattern, ...
  - Example: madvise() in POSIX "give advice about use of memory"

When do we swap out pages? (page replacement)

- Demand swapping: whenever the page fault actually occurs
  - Simplest method
  - Swap actually occurs asynchronously
    - Start the disk I/O and block the process that faulted

- Background swapping: preemptively when RAM is getting full
  - Background service in kernel periodically runs (kswapd)
  - If number of free physical pages < "low water mark", evict a bunch
    - Writing many pages to disk in one operation is way more efficient

#### Thrashing

- Thrashing: when swapping happens frequently
  - Policy could be bad (working set keeps getting swapped to disk)
  - More likely RAM is too small

- Frequent swapping slows down the whole computer to a crawl
  - Constantly waiting on disk I/O
- Solution for thrashing
  - Kill processes until it stops (relieves memory pressure)
  - Install more RAM in the computer

#### Outline

• Paging in modern OS

• Memory Hierarchy

- Swapping
  - Page Replacement Policies

Which page should be evicted?

• Page replacement policy determines page to evict

- Very similar process as cache eviction or TLB eviction
  - Misses are expensive, so make sure you evict the right page
  - Page faults are extremely long, so a sophisticated policy is possible

# Optimal page replacement policy

- Optimal page replacement
  - Evict page that will be accessed furthest in the future
- Advantages
  - Guaranteed to minimize the number of page faults
- Disadvantages
  - Requires the OS to predict the future and therefore cannot exist
- Performance upper bound
  - This is the best anything can do, so it is useful to compare against
  - Still has misses due to cold-start and capacity

#### First-In-First-Out replacement policy

- FIFO replacement
  - Evict page that has been in memory the longest
- Advantages
  - Fair as all pages have equal residency
  - Easy to implement
- Disadvantages
  - Some pages of memory are always needed (stack)
    - Memory doesn't really need "fairness" like processes did

#### Least Recently Used replacement policy

- LRU replacement
  - Replace page not accessed for longest time
  - Using the past to predict the future (temporal locality)
- Advantages
  - With locality, LRU approximates Optimal
- Disadvantages
  - Harder to implement as we need to track when pages are accessed
  - Cyclical patterns can make LRU fail (bigger concern for cache than RAM)















# Implementing LRU

- Implementing *perfect* LRU is difficult in practice
- Software perfect LRU
  - OS maintains an ordered list of physical pages by reference time
    - When page is referenced: move to end of list
    - When swap is needed: evict front of list
  - Tradeoff: slow on memory reference, fast on replacement (unacceptable)
- Hardware perfect LRU
  - Associate a timestamp with each physical page
    - When page is referenced: hardware updates timestamp for page
    - When swap is needed: OS searches through all pages for oldest
  - Tradeoff: fast on memory reference, extremely slow on replacement and needs special hardware

#### Clock algorithm

- LRU approximates Optimal anyways, so approximate a little more
  - Goal: find an old page, not necessarily the oldest page
- Clock algorithm
  - One "accessed" bit added to each page
    - When page is referenced: accessed bit is set to one (hardware)
    - When swap is needed:
      - Cycle through pages looking for one with accessed bit zero
      - Update accessed bit to zero after checking a page
      - Continue from where you left off when next swap is needed
  - Essentially looks for page that hasn't been referenced this "cycle"

- Initial setup
  - 6 pages total fit in memory
  - Accessed starts as zero
  - "clock hand" points at first page



- After running a little while
  - Pages A, B, E are accessed



- OS needs to swap pages
  - Algorithm starts
- A is recently accessed



- OS needs to swap pages
  - Algorithm starts
- A is recently accessed
- B is recently accessed



- OS needs to swap pages
  - Algorithm starts
- A is recently accessed
- B is recently accessed
- C has not been recently accessed



- OS needs to swap pages
  - Algorithm starts
- A is recently accessed
- B is recently accessed
- C has not been recently accessed
  - So swap it
  - And advance hand once more



- Programs continue running for a while
  - Pages G, D, F are accessed



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new
- D recently accessed



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new
- D recently accessed
- E recently accessed



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new
- D recently accessed
- E recently accessed
- F recently accessed



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new
- D recently accessed
- E recently accessed
- F recently accessed
- A gets swapped!



- OS needs to swap again
  - Algorithm begins again
  - But with hand starting somewhere new
- D recently accessed
- E recently accessed
- F recently accessed
- A gets swapped
  - Was A or B the actual LRU?
  - Probably doesn't matter



Clock algorithm is actually used in real computers

Modern OSes use some variation on Clock Algorithm

• x86 hardware supports an accessed bit in page table entries

- Clock algorithm can be built without hardware support
  - Mark all pages as valid but not present initially (soft page fault)
  - On OS fault, update accessed bit for page, mark as present
    - Only fault on *first* access per clock-hand-cycle
  - Reset page to not present whenever accessed is reset to zero

#### Improving clock algorithm access notion

- Add multiple "accessed" bits to create accessed counter
  - Increment or decrement bits on use or clock-hand-pass respectively
  - Only remove pages with 0 accessed (or less than some minimum)
- Combine with timestamp notion to ensure page is "old" (WSClock)
  - Keep a timestamp in addition to accessed bit
  - Only remove pages with 0 accessed and older than some amount
    - Still not necessarily oldest, but definitely old

#### Improving clock algorithm evictions

- Keep track of number of times a page re-enters memory (Clock-PRO)
  - Give eviction preference to pages that haven't been brought back a bunch
  - Bringing it back implies it was important, even if it was old
- Keep track of which pages are dirty
  - Give eviction preference to clean pages (also to read-only pages)
  - Means no write to disk is necessary!
- Evict several pages at once each time it is required
  - Find first N with accessed bit of zero
  - Takes advantage of disk I/O properties

#### Outline

• Paging in modern OS

• Memory Hierarchy

- Swapping
  - Page Replacement Policies