Lecture 03 Integer Operations

CS213 – Intro to Computer Systems Branden Ghena – Winter 2022

Slides adapted from: St-Amour, Hardavellas, Bustamente (Northwestern), Bryant, O'Hallaron (CMU), Garcia, Weaver (UC Berkeley)

Northwestern

Administrivia

- You should all have access to Campuswire and Gradescope
 - Contact me via email immediately if you don't!!
- Office hours are now running
 - See Canvas homepage for office hours times
 - Be sure to sign up on the queue that's also on Canvas
 - That's how we track what order to help people in

Administrivia

- Homework 1 due by end-of-day Thursday
 - Submit on Gradescope
- Data Lab due next week Thursday
 - Start working on the Integer Puzzles now
 - Floating Point puzzles can wait until after lecture on Thursday
 - Can be tricky. Don't spend forever on any one, jump around

Today's Goals

• Explore operations we can perform on binary numbers

• Understand the edge cases of those operations

• Discuss performance of various operations

C versus the hardware

- Operations you can perform on binary numbers have edge conditions
 - Usually going above or below the bit width
- If we say what happens in that scenario, it'll be what "the hardware" (i.e., a computer) does
 - In today's examples, pretty much every computer does the same thing
- That is not the same as what C does
 - Unclear choices are left as: UNDEFINED BEHAVIOR 🚱
 - Which is to say, the compiler make any choice it wants

Outline

Addition

- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Unsigned Addition

- Like grade-school addition, but in base 2, and ignores final carry
 - If you want, can do addition in base 10 and convert to base 2. Same result!
- Example: Adding two 4-bit numbers

$$+ \frac{0011}{1000}$$

•
$$5_{10} + 3_{10} = 8_{10}$$

Unsigned Addition and Overflow

- What happens if the numbers get too big?
- Example: Adding two 4-bit numbers

- $13_{10} + 3_{10} = 16_{10}$
 - Too large for 4 bits! Overflow
 - Result is the 4 least significant bits (all we can fit): so 0_{10}
 - Gives us modular (= modulo) behavior: 16 modulo $2^4 = 0$

Modulo behavior in binary numbers



Basis for unsigned addition

$$UAdd_{w}(u,v) = \begin{cases} u+v & u+v < 2^{w} \\ u+v-2^{w} & u+v \ge 2^{w} \end{cases}$$

- Implements modular arithmetic
 - $UAdd_w(u, v) = (u + v) \mod 2^w$
- Need to drop carry bit, otherwise results will keep getting bigger
 - Example in base 10: $80_{10} + 40_{10} = 120_{10}$ (2-digit inputs become a 3-digit output!)



- Warning: C does not tell you that the result had an overflow!
 - Unsigned addition in C behaves like modular arithmetic

Signed (2's Complement) Addition

- Works exactly the same as unsigned addition!
 - Just add the numbers in binary, and the result will work out
- Signed and unsigned sum have the exact same bit-level representation
 - Computers use the same machine instruction and the same hardware!
 - That's a big reason 2's complement is so nice! Shares operations with unsigned
- TAdd and UAdd have Identical Bit-Level Behavior
 - Signed vs. unsigned addition in C:

```
int s, t, u, v;
s = (int) ((unsigned int) u + (unsigned int) v);
t = u + v
```

• Result: s == t (in all cases)

Signed addition example

- Same addition method as unsigned
- Example: Adding two 4-bit signed numbers

$$1011 (-8 + 3 = -5) + 0011 (-8 + 6 = -2) 1110 (-8 + 6 = -2)$$

•
$$-5_{10} + 3_{10} = -2_{10}$$

Combining negative and positive numbers

- Overflow sometimes makes signed addition work!
- Example: Adding two 4-bit signed numbers

$$\begin{array}{c} {}^{1}1111\\1101\\+ 0011\\10000 \end{array} (-8+5=-3)\\+ 3 \end{array}$$

- $-3_{10} + 3_{10} = 0_{10}$
 - Too large for 4 bits! Drop the carry bit
 - Result is what we expect as long as we truncate

Signed addition and overflow

- Overflow can still happen in signed addition though
- Example: Adding two 4-bit signed numbers

$$+ \frac{011}{1000}$$

- $5_{10} + 3_{10} = -8_{10}$ (+8 is too big to fit)
- Remember, this was also unsigned $\mathbf{5_{10}} + \mathbf{3_{10}} = \mathbf{8_{10}}$

Signed addition and underflow

- Underflow happens in the negative direction
- Example: Adding two 4-bit signed numbers

$$^{1} 1011 \\ + 1011 \\ 10110$$

•
$$-5_{10} + -5_{10} = +6_{10}$$
 (-10 was too small to fit)

TAdd Overflow

- Can overflow two ways!
 - By going too far into the positives
 - OR too far into the negatives!
 - Modular behavior either way
- *BUT*, beware signed overflow in C • **UNDEFINED BEHAVIOR**
 - Compiler probably does modular result

$$TAdd_{w}(u,v) = \begin{cases} i & u+v+2^{w} & u+v < TMin_{w} \text{ (NegOver)} \\ i & u+v & TMin_{w} \notin u+v \notin TMax_{w} \\ i & u+v-2^{w} & TMax_{w} < u+v \text{ (PosOver)} \end{cases}$$





Special boss in Chrono Trigger

- Dream Devourer
 - Special boss in the Nintendo DS edition
- Wanted to make it even more challenging
 - 32000 hit points
 - Takes *forever* to defeat
- Hit points stored as a 16-bit signed integer
 - Range: -32768 to +32767





Chrono Trigger signed overflow bug

• Solution: heal it

• Hit points go negative and it dies



Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Negating with Complement & Increment

• Claim: The following is true for 2's complement

•
$$\sim x + 1 == -x$$

x 10011101
+ $\sim x$ 01100010
• Observation: $\sim x + x == 1111...11_2 == -1$
-1 1111111

• Increment

•

•
$$\sim x + 1 = = -x + x - x + 1 = -1 - x + 1 = -x$$

• $\sim x + 1 = -x$

- Example, 4 bits: $6_{10} = 0110_2$
 - Complement: $1001_2 \rightarrow \text{Increment} = 1010_2 = -8 + 2 = -6_{10}$

Subtraction in two's complement

Subtraction becomes addition of the negative number

• 5-3 = 5 + -3 = 2

- Unsigned subtraction
 - Convert subtractor to its two's complement negative form
 - Do addition
 - Treat result as an unsigned number

$$\begin{array}{c} {}^{1} \stackrel{1}{0} \stackrel{1}{1} \stackrel{1}{0} \stackrel{1}{1} (+5) \\ + \underbrace{1101}_{10010} (-3) \end{array}$$

- In 8-bit two's complement binary:
 - What is $120_{10} 20_{10}$?
 - Solve as decimal. Then translate

- In 8-bit two's complement binary:
 - What is $120_{10} 20_{10}$?
 - Solve as decimal. Then translate
 - $100_{10} = 01100100_2$

- In 8-bit two's complement binary:
 - What is $120_{10} 20_{10}$?
 - Solve as decimal. Then translate
 - $100_{10} = 01100100_2$

• What is 0x84 - 0x20?

• Solve as hexadecimal. Then translate

- In 8-bit two's complement binary:
 - What is $120_{10} 20_{10}$?
 - Solve as decimal. Then translate
 - $100_{10} = 01100100_2$

• What is 0x84 - 0x20?

- Solve as hexadecimal. Then translate
- 0x64 = 0b01100100

Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction

Multiplication

- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Multiplication

- Goal: Compute the Product of *w*-bit numbers *x*, *y*
 - Either signed or unsigned
- But, exact results can be bigger than *w* bits
 - Around double the size (2 w), in fact!
 - Example in base 10: $50_{10} * 20_{10} = 1000_{10}$
 - (2-digit inputs become a 4-digit output!)
- As with addition, result is truncated to fit in *w* bits
 - Because computers are finite, results can't grow indefinitely

Unsigned Multiplication



Standard Multiplication Function

- Equivalent to grade-school multiplication
- But ignores most significant *w* bits of the result
- As a person, we can do base 10 multiplication, convert to base 2, then truncate
- Implements modular arithmetic like addition does $UMult_{u}(u, v) = (u \cdot v) \mod 2^{w}$

Unsigned multiplication

Example: Multiplying two 4-bit numbers



Signed (2's Complement) Multiplication



Standard Multiplication Function

- Ignores most significant *w* bits
- Lower bits still give the correct result
 - So we can use same machine instruction for both!
 - Again, that's one reason why 2's complement is so nice

In C, signed overflow is undefined

• ...but probably you'll see the two's complement behavior

Signed multiplication

Example: Multiplying two's complement 5-bit numbers

What are these two 5-bit numbers?

What is the result of this addition?

$$-2_{10} * 3_{10} = -6_{10}$$

Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Left Shift: x << y

- Shift bit-vector $\mathbf x$ left by $\mathbf y$ positions
 - Throw away extra bits on left
 - Fill empty bits with 0
 - Same behavior for signed or unsigned

Argument x	00000010
<< 3	000 00010 <u>000</u>

Argument x	10100010		
<< 3	<mark>101</mark> 00010 <u>000</u>		

- Equivalent to multiplying by 2^y
 - And then taking modulo (i.e. truncating overflow bits)
- Undefined behavior in C when:
 - y < 0, or $y \ge bit_width(x)$
 - Also when some non-0 bits get shifted off (*probably* they get truncated)

Right Shift: x >> y

- Shift bit-vector x right y positions
 - Throw away extra bits on right
- But how to fill the new bits that open up?
 - Will depend on signed vs unsigned
- Unsigned: Logical shift
 - Always fill with 0's on left
- Signed: Arithmetic shift
 - Replicate most significant bit on left
 - Necessary for two's complement integer representation (sign extension!)
- Undefined behavior in C when:
 - y < 0, or $y \ge bit_width(x)$

Argument x	<u>0</u> 1100010	
Logi. >> 2	<u>00</u> 011000	
Arith. >> 2	<u>00</u> 011000	

Argument x	<u>1</u> 0100010	
Logi. >> 2	<u>00</u> 101000	
Arith. >> 2	<u>11</u> 101000	

Practice shifting in C

unsigned char x = 0b10100010;Steps: $x \ll 3 = ? 0b00010000$ 0b10100010**000** 0b10100010**000** unsigned char x = 0b10100010;Steps: x >> 2 = ? 0b001010000b**00**10100010 0b**00**10100010 signed char x = 0b10100010;Steps: x >> 2 = ? 0b111010000b**11**10100010 0b**11**10100010

Note:

GCC supports the prefix **0b** for binary literals (like **0x**... for hex) directly in C. This is not part of the C standard! It may not work on other compilers.

Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Bit Masking

- How do you manipulate certain bits within a number?
- Combines some of the ideas we've already learned
 - ~, &, |, <<, >>
- Steps
 - 1. Create a "bit mask" which is a pattern to choose certain bits
 - 2. Use & or | to combine it with your number
 - 3. Optional: Use >> to move the bits to the least significant position

Bit mask values

- Selecting bits, use the AND operation
 - 1 means to select that bit
 - 0 means to not select that bit
- Writing bits
 - Writing a one, use the OR operation
 - 1 means to write a one to that position
 - 0 is unchanged
 - Writing a zero, use the AND operation
 - 0 means to write a zero to that position
 - 1 is unchanged

Select bottom four bits: num & 0x0F

Set 6th bit to one: num | (1 << 6) num | (0b0100000)

```
Clear 6<sup>th</sup> bit to zero:

num & (~(1 << 6))

num & (~(0b01000000))

num & (0b1011111)
```

Example: selecting bits

- Select bits 2 and 3 from a number
- Input: 0b01100100
 Mask: 0b00001100

0b01100100 & 0b00001100 0b0000100

Finally, shift right by two to get the values in the least significant position:

0b00000001

Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

What about division?

- Similar to long division process
 - Tedious and complicated to get right
- Even more complicated than multiply to make work in hardware
 - I've worked on a computer that didn't even have divide

Concept: Not all operations are equally expensive!

- Some operations are pretty simple to perform in hardware
 - E.g., addition, shifting, bitwise operations
 - Also true of doing the same by hand on paper
- Others are much more involved
 - E.g., multiplication, or even more so division
 - Consider long multiplication / long division; quite tedious!
 - Hardware is not doing the exact same thing, but similar principle
- *Trick*: try to replace expensive operations with simple ones!
 - Doesn't work in all cases, but often does when mult/div by constants

Multiplication as shift operations

• Multiply 2 x 5:

- This is actually just bit shifts and additions
- 0010 **x** 0101 0010 0010 0010 00000 001000 = 10 000000 000000 000000

Power-of-2 Multiply with Left Shift



- $(u \ll 5) (u \ll 3) == u \ast 32 u \ast 8 = u \ast 24$
 - Can combine multiple shifts with addition to get multiplications by non-powers-of-2

Shift to divide

- Division works too
 - unsigned int x = y / 2; unsigned int x = y >> 1;
- Even more important because division is a complicated operation
 - Multiply is implemented in (relatively) simple hardware on most systems
 - Compiler might actually translate your divide by powers of two into shift operations though!
- Warning: rounding needs to be handled correctly for signed numbers and division
 - See bonus slides

Compilers automatically chose the best operations

- Should you use shifts instead of multiply/divide in your C code?
 NO
- Just write out the math
 - Math is more readable if that's what you meant
 - Compiler automatically converts code for you for best performance
- These two mean the same thing, but one is way more understandable
 - int x = y * 32;
 - int x = (y << 5);

C code translation

• Steps for C

CALL

- 1. **C**ompiler
- 2. Assembler
- 3. Linker
- 4. Loader



Compiler

- Input: higher-level language code (C, C++, Java, etc.)
- Output: assembly language code (for a particular computer)

- Process
 - Handle pre-processor (defines and includes)
 - Preform optimizations on code
 - Make it faster (such as divide-into-shift)
 - Make it use less memory (eliminate unused variables)
- Entire course worth of material here: CS322

Outline

- Addition
- Negation and Subtraction
- Multiplication
- Shifting
- Bit Masks
- Optimizations

Outline

• Dividing with bit shift

Unsigned Power-of-2 Divide with Right Shift

- Quotient of unsigned by power of 2
 - $\mathbf{u} >> \mathbf{k}$ gives $\lfloor \mathbf{u} / \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{k}} \rfloor$
 - Uses logical shift



- Pink part would be remainder / fractional part (right of the point)
 - Shift just drops it: equivalent to rounding *down*

Operands:	u / 2^k	••• ••• Binary Point 0 ••• 0 0 0
Division:	u / 2 ^k	
Result:	$\lfloor u / 2^k \rfloor$	0 • • • 0 0 • • •

	Division	Computed	Hex	Binary
X	15213	15213	3B 6D	00111011 01101101
x >> 1	7606.5	7606	1D B6	00011101 10110110
x >> 4	950.8125	950	03 B6	00000011 10110110
x >> 8	59.4257813	59	00 3B	0000000 00111011

Signed Power-of-2 Divide with Shift (Almost)

- Quotient of signed by power of 2
 - $\mathbf{x} >> \mathbf{k}$ gives $[\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{2}^{k}]$
 - Uses arithmetic shift
 - Also rounds down, again by dropping bits
 - But signed division should round *towards 0!* (that's its math definition)
 - That means rounding *up* for negative numbers!



• Example, 4 bits: -6 / 4 = -1.5 (should round towards 0, to -1)

- $1010_2 >> 2 = 110_2 = -2_{10}$
- Rounds the wrong way!

Correct Signed Power-of-2 Divide

- Want $\lceil \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{2}^k \rceil$ (round towards 0)
 - Math identity: $[\mathbf{x} / \mathbf{y}] = [(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{1}) / \mathbf{y}]$
 - Compute negative case as $\lfloor (x+2^k-1) / 2^k \rfloor \rightarrow$ gets us correct rounding!
 - Computing both cases in C: (x<0 ? (x + (1<<k)-1) : x) >> k
 - Biases dividend toward 0

٠

all bits at positions 0...(k-1) are 0



Biasing has no effect; all affected bits are dropped

- Example, 4 bits: $-8 / 2^2 = -2$ bias = (1 < < 2) 1 = 3
 - $(1000 + 0011) >> 2 = 1011 >> 2 = 1110 = -2_{10}$ (correct, no rounding)

Correct Signed Power-of-2 Divide (Cont.) Case 2: Rounding



Biasing adds 1 to final result; just what we wanted

- Example, 4 bits: $-6 / 2^2 = -1$ bias = (1 < < 2) 1 = 3
 - $(1010 + 0011) >> 2 = 1101 >> 2 = 1111 = -1_{10}$ (correct, rounds towards 0)
- Compiler does that for you (but you need to be able to read it!)