Lecture 13 Access Control

CS211 – Fundamentals of Computer Programming II Branden Ghena – Fall 2021

Slides adapted from: Jesse Tov

Northwestern

Administrivia

- Homework 5 is underway
 - Remember this is a SOLO ASSIGNMENT
 - Hardest part: getting used to C++ syntax
- Example: calling a function on an object
 - **Documentation:** Posn<int>::right_by(...)
 - Means: Posn<int> has a member function called right_by()
 - To call it: pos.right_by(...)

Warning: CLion isn't always trustworthy

- CLion tries too hard to be useful
 - And can end up changing files you didn't mean to
 - When it pops up and asks if you want to do something, usually the answer is "No!"
 - Example: static functions

- This can end up changing code in files you didn't mean to touch
 - Easiest fix is often to check out the project again and move your files over

Survey results

- Question: Should we change some office hours to in-person?
- Mixed responses
- Going to stay as-is for this quarter
- Keeping a homework FAQ
 post on Campuswire



Today's Goals

• Continue practice on constructors and objects

• Discuss using exceptions to signal errors

- Introduce concept of encapsulation and access control
 - How technically it's done in C++
 - Why we care about it

Getting the code for today

- Download code in a zip file from here: <u>https://nu-cs211.github.io/cs211-files/lec/13_access.zip</u>
- Extract code wherever
- Open with CLion
 - Make sure you open the folder with the CMakeLists.txt

Outline

- More Constructors
- Exceptions
- Access Control
- Encapsulation Policy

Today's working example

- String_Holder
 - Manages strings using a constant-length array to hold characters
 - Members:
 - int length
 - char characters[80]
 - Rules (invariants)
 - 0 <= length <= 80
 - length matches the number of valid characters in characters

Live Coding: constructors for String_Holder

- String_Holder::String_Holder()
 - Initialize empty

src/string_holder-implemented.cxx
src/string_holder.hxx

- String_Holder::String_Holder(const char* str)
 - Construct from null-terminated string
- String_Holder::String_Holder(const char* str, int len)
 - Construct from a length of characters
- String_Holder::String_Holder(const String_Holder& other)
 - Copy constructor (from another String_Holder)

Delegating constructors

- One constructor can call another to handle initialization
 - Delegates construction to that other constructor

// defined somewhere else
String_Holder::String_Holder(const char* str, int len);

// delegates to other constructor
String_Holder::String_Holder(const String_Holder& other)
 : String_Holder(other.characters, other.length)

Explicit constructors

- The explicit keyword before a constructor means that the constructor must be manually called by the developer
 - Rather than automatically called by the compiler
- Reason to have compiler automagic:
 - String_Holder str = "Test";
 - Automatically calls String_Holder::String_Holder("Test");
 - Kind of nice that it just works...

Explicit constructors

- The explicit keyword before a constructor means that the constructor must be manually called by the developer
 - Rather than automatically called by the compiler
- Reason to use explicit:
 - void do_complicated_string_stuff(String_Holder str);
 - do_complicated_string_stuff("Test");
 - Also automatically calls the constructor
 - But maybe the user just passed in the wrong argument and a compile error would have been better...

Enforcing invariants with constructors

- What if a user violates the rules?
 - 0 <= length <= 80
 - length matches the number of valid characters in characters
- Possibilities
 - Probably length should be an unsigned int to start with
 - Truncate length to 80
 - Only copy over as many characters as will fit

- But what if there's no obvious choice for what to do?
 - Constructor cannot return a value to say it failed

Outline

- More Constructors
- Exceptions
- Access Control
- Encapsulation Policy

Exceptions conceptually

- Stop running this code and return a special error to the caller
- Things went wrong, so we can't just keep executing code like normal
- If the caller doesn't expect the error and can't handle it, repeat the process
 - Again stop running the code and return the special error

Exceptions are "thrown" by the function

- throw keyword performs the special "error return"
- Takes an argument of the error to return
 - Example:

throw std::invalid_argument("String is too long");

- Actually, you can throw anything (for historical reasons) throw 6;
 - You should almost certainly throw a class based on std::exception
 - https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/error/exception

Properly handling exceptions

- If no caller in the "call stack" handles the exception, the program will exit
- Handle exceptions with a try-catch block

```
try {
```

// code that could throw an exception goes here
} catch (const std::invalid_argument& ex) {
 // code to handle the exception goes here
}

• This example only catches std::invalid_argument exceptions

General try-catch form

try {

- // code that could throw exceptions
- } catch (some specific exception) {
 - // handler code
- } catch (another specific exception) {
 // handler code
- } catch (...) {
 - // general case matches all exceptions
 // actually includes the ... in the C++ code

Live coding: exceptions

- Functions to add to:
 - String_Holder::String_Holder(const char*, int)
 - Ensure that int values are:
 - >= 0
 - < MAX_STRING_LENGTH
 - String_Holder::char_at(int)
 - Ensure that int values are:
 - >= 0
 - < length

Break + Relevant XKCD

A ERROR IF YOU'RE SEEING THIS, THE CODE IS IN WHAT I THOUGHT WAS AN UNREACHABLE STATE. I COULD GIVE YOU ADVICE FOR WHAT TO DO. BUT HONESTLY, WHY SHOULD YOU TRUST ME? I CLEARLY SCREWED THIS UP. I'M WRITING A MESSAGE THAT SHOULD NEVER APPEAR, YET I KNOW IT WILL PROBABLY APPEAR SOMEDAY. ON A DEEP LEVEL, I KNOW I'M NOT UP TO THIS TASK. I'M SO SORRY.

NEVER WRITE ERROR MESSAGES TIRED.

https://xkcd.com/2200/

Outline

- More Constructors
- Exceptions
- Access Control
- Encapsulation Policy

The problem of public access

- Constructors (and other member functions) that enforce rules are insufficient
 - Anyone could access the data member directly

```
String Holder str("Test String");
```

```
str -> length = 5000;
```

std::cout << str; // oops, UNDEFINED BEHAVIOR</pre>

By default, all data and functions are "public"

struct My_struct {

// accessible to all parts of the program

Can choose to make data/functions "private"

```
struct My_struct {
```

private:

// accessible only to member functions

Can choose exactly which data / functions are publicly accessibly versus privately accessible!

struct My_struct {

public:
 // accessible to all parts of the program
 private:

// accessible only to member functions

Can choose exactly which data / functions are publicly accessibly versus privately accessible!

struct My_struct {

public:

// accessible to all parts of the program

private:

// accessible only to member functions

public:

```
// accessible to all parts of the program
```

Structs versus Classes

- Struct and Class are interchangeable
 - The difference is the default behavior
 - Both can use private: and public: access modifiers

```
struct Test {
    // accessible to all parts of the program
}
class Test {
    // accessible only to member functions
}
```

Style convention

- Use classes for abstractions (smart data)
 - Example: String_Holder, Ball

- Use structs for "plain old data"
 - Example: Position, Dimension

- We intentionally violated this in homework 5 to keep things simple
 - And to make transition from C simpler: "structs with functions"

Additional specifier: protected

- Like private, but accessible to classes that inherit from this one
 - i.e., other classes that are based on this one

- Will talk about more next week
- If you see it around before then, consider it the same as private

Outline

- More Constructors
- Exceptions
- Access Control
- Encapsulation Policy

Encapsulation

- Goal: protect the rules of your data so it remains consistent
- Method:
 - 1. Make the data private
 - 2. Add public member functions to let clients do useful things
 - 3. Don't add public member functions that let clients do bad things (like break the rules of the data)

Step back: why do we care about consistency?

- Helps us avoid **undefined behavior**
 - Keep track of sizes of arrays, for instance
- Avoids errors
 - Maybe you expect your data to always be sorted
- Improves efficiency
 - Make assumptions about the data that you know MUST be true

Live coding: update String_Holder access control

- Data members should be private
 - Convention: private members end with "_"

- Functions should be public
 - And functions should never allow the rules to be broken

Encapsulation cuts off direct access to data members

 Problem: functions outside of the class can never access data members, even to just read from them

- Options:
 - 1. Include as a member function
 - 2. Add "getters" for data variables String_Holder::size()
 - 3. Declare function as a friend

Allowing specific things access to private members

 friend keyword declares another thing that can access private members from this class

- Example overloaded operator! operator<<()
 - Needs to access the private members of String_Holder
 - Inside the String_Holder class definition, add:

friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream&, const String_Holder&);</pre>

Welcome to Encapsulation

- Software engineering principle:
 - 1. Bundle your data and operations together
 - 2. Don't let non-bundled operations mess with your bundled data
- Benefits
 - Correctness
 - Data will never become inconsistent
 - Flexibility
 - Implementation details can change without modifying the API

Outline

- More Constructors
- Exceptions
- Access Control
- Encapsulation Policy