Lecture 07 Driver Design

CE346 – Microprocessor System Design Branden Ghena – Fall 2022

Some slides borrowed from: Josiah Hester (Northwestern), Prabal Dutta (UC Berkeley)

Northwestern

Administriva

- Project Proposals due Thursday!
 - A few are in so far and they look great and I'm super excited!!!!!
 - My goal is get you feedback by early next week

- Otherwise, class keeps going as usual
 - Still have four more lab sessions
 - Still have three more quizzes
 - Lots more content to cover

Today's Goals

• Deep-dive into driver design options

- Explore another aspect of device driver design
 - Non-blocking vs Blocking interfaces
- Discuss how interrupts interact with these
 - Event-loop as a partial alternative
- Consider how an LED matrix driver could be constructed



Driver Interfaces (Blocking and Non-Blocking)

Event-driven Model

Continuous Operation

How should we write driver software?

- There are various knobs available to us from hardware
 - Polling, Interrupts, DMA
- There are also various software interface design
 - Synchronous
 - Asynchronous
 - Callback
 - Event-driven model

Synchronous device drivers

- Synchronous functions
 - Function call issues a command
 - Does not return until action is complete and result is ready
- Example: most functions we're used to
 - sqrt() for example
 - printf() also usually works this way (with some exceptions)
- Arduino interfaces are usually like this!
 - Easy to get started with and understand

Downside of synchronous code: the waiting

- How long will it take until the function returns?
 - Immediately, seconds, minutes?
- What if there's an error and the device never responds?
 - More advanced interface could include a timeout option

- Synchronous designs require other synchronous designs
 - We can build synchronous interfaces from asynchronous ones
 - But we can't go the other way

Asynchronous drivers

 Goal: let the hardware run on its own and have the code get back to it later

• Challenge: programmers don't think that way

- Other challenge: how do we "get back to it later"?
 - Callbacks
 - Event-driven model

Callbacks

- Callbacks reuse a similar idea to interrupts
 - When the event occurs, call this function
- General pattern
 - Call driver function with one argument being a function pointer
 - Driver sets up interaction and returns immediately
 - Later the event happens and the driver calls the function pointer

Function pointers in C

- Harder than in Javascript or C++. Can't define anonymous function inline
 - Instead create a pointer to an existing function in your code

```
void myfun(int a) {
    // do something here
}
void main() {
    void (*fun_ptr)(int) = &myfun;
    fun_ptr(10); // dereference happens automatically
```

Callback functions

• timer_start(duration, my_timer_handler, context);

- "Context" is often provided as well (void*)
 - Ability for caller to pass an argument for the callback function
 - Often a pointer to a position in a structure or a shared variable to modify

Callbacks usually run in an interrupt mode

• If the interrupt handler calls the callback, the callback will be within that same interrupt mode

- Be careful which variables you modify!!
 - Could lead to concurrency issues if you modify a public structure
- Starts to get pretty annoying
 - Embedded systems deal with concurrency issues just like OS

Building synchronous code out of callbacks

Callback handlers can be used to build synchronous code

```
void myfun(void* context) {
    *(boolean*)context = true; // context is the flag pointer
}
```

```
void timer_start_blocking(duration) {
   volatile boolean flag = false;
   timer_start(duration, &myfun, &flag);
   while (!flag) { }
```

Temp driver example

<u>nu-microbit-base/software/apps/temp_driver/</u>

- Some necessary functions
 - NVIC_EnableIRQ(irq); // TEMP_IRQn is for the Temperature Sensor
 - NVIC_SetPriority(irq, priority)

• Driver Interfaces (Blocking and Non-Blocking)

Event-driven Model

Continuous Operation

Interrupts are frustrating

- We do not always want to block on every call
- We also do not want to deal with concurrency issues

- An alternative: one main event loop
 - Polls necessary sensors
 - Iterates through state machine and determine actions
 - Runs at a certain frequency

Event loop

- Rather than polling a single driver, poll all of them
 - Each time through the loop check all relevant inputs
 - Respond to events that are necessary
 - Sleep until ready to start again

```
while (1) {
   time start = get_time();
   boolean result = check_timer();
   if (result) { check_gps(); }
   adjust_throttle();
   delay_ms(1000 - (get_time() - start));
```

Downsides of event loop design

• Timeliness can be a problem

- How long between the timer being ready and the GPS being checked in this example?
 - Maximum of 1 second plus the time spent checking other stuff

```
while (1) {
   time start = get_time();
   boolean result = check_timer();
   if (result) { check_gps(); }
   adjust_throttle();
   delay_ms(1000 - (get_time() - start));
}
```

Top-half / Bottom-half handler design

- Top half
 - Interrupt handler
 - Immediately continues next transaction
 - Or signals for top half to continue (often with shared variable)

- Bottom half
 - Performs logic to actually process and respond to the event
 - Run in a non-interrupt context when the scheduler is ready for it
 - Usually safe to run it even while interrupts could be occurring

Temperature event-loop example

nu-microbit-base/software/apps/temp_event_loop/

- Some necessary functions
 - NVIC_EnableIRQ(irq); // TEMP_IRQn is for the Temperature Sensor
 - NVIC_SetPriority(irq, priority)

Outline

• Driver Interfaces (Blocking and Non-Blocking)

• Event-driven Model

Continuous Operation

Continuous operation

 For some sensors/actuators they might be continuous updating in the background

- For those, we only need one init_and_start function and a read function
 - Continuous sensors are always ready with the most recent sample
 - Continuous actuators will always update to the new command as soon as possible
 - They might skip a command if you give it multiple very quickly

Continuously updating temperature

- Temperature driver design
 - 1. In the interrupt handler, copy over the value
 - 2. Start the next event, which will automatically re-trigger the interrupt
 - No more is_ready() function, data is always ready with the most up-todate value

- This would mean a TON of interrupts
 - Probably want to combine with a timer to run it more slowly

LED Matrix design

- This is a good example of a continuous operation actuator
- General driver design
 - Split operation between a Model and a View (Model-View-Controller design)
 - Model contains what you want the state of the LEDs to be
 - Only updates when the user calls a function
 - Updates immediately (non-blocking)
 - View contains the code to take the model and display it on the LEDs
 - Continuously updates the LED states with a timer

LEDs on the Microbit

- Use two GPIO pins to control each LED
 - Row high as VDD
 - Column low as Ground
- Remember, connections only exist where there are dots



COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.



Controlling the LED matrix

- We can light up all the LEDs at once:
 - Set all rows to High
 - Clear all columns to Low

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connectoed to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.



Controlling the LED matrix

• But now how do we turn off the right middle LED?

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

Can we control by row?

• But now how do we turn off the right middle LED?

- What if we clear the row to Low?
 - Messes up the entire row

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connectoed to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

Can we control by column?

• But now how do we turn off the right middle LED?

- What if we set the column to High?
 - Messes up the entire column
- We don't actually have arbitrary control over the whole thing at once

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

Persistence of vision

• The solution here is to abuse how human eyes work

- Eyes can't detect changes in light that are going faster than a certain speed
 - Or if they do at all, it's interpreted as slightly dimmer light
 - Any given LED should be above ${\sim}100~{\rm Hz}$ to keep humans from noticing the flicker

Persistance of vision on an LED matrix

• What if we instead control a single column at a time?

• First column, all LEDs on

• What if we instead control a single column at a time?

• Same for second column through fourth column

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

• What if we instead control a single column at a time?

• Same for second column through fourth column

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

• What if we instead control a single column at a time?

• Same for second column through fourth column

COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connectoed to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

• What if we instead control a single column at a time?

• Last column we only turn on some of the LEDs

 As long as we keep cycling through columns fast enough, the whole thing becomes a display COL1-5 are usually nRF52 outputs that are used to sink current to selectively illuminate LEDs. Note that for light sensing the LEDs must be reverse-biased. COL1, 3 & 5 are connected to nRF52 ADC-capable pins but light sensing is currently digital.

LED matrix full design

- Requires GPIO and a Timer
- When the Timer fires
 - Change which column you are displaying
 - Update the row pins based on this new column
 - Read row data from a 5x5 array that models what the screen should show
- When the user wants to change the display
 - Update that 5x5 array in memory
 - It'll start getting drawn on the screen the next time the Timer fires

Outline

• Driver Interfaces (Blocking and Non-Blocking)

• Event-driven Model

Continuous Operation